

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/752,007	01/07/2004	Naohito Shiga	009523-0307495	3636
909 75	590 02/27/2006	EXAMINER		
	WINTHROP SHAW	ZIMMER, MARC S		
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1712	<u> </u>

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٠	_		-
t	1	/	
•	~		
•			
•			

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/752,007	SHIGA, NAOHITO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marc S. Zimmer	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ੇeriod for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the provision of the pr	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ja	anuary 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
·	·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	63 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		. ·				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the correct of the contract of the contrac	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received: 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/17/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					

Claim Objections

Claim 4 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim.

See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, claim 4 not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

At issue is Applicant's statement that the cementing layer is "made of" polysilane. Although there appears to be conflicting subject matter in the Specification, it would seem more accurate to say that the cementing layer is derived from a polysilane. Indeed, Applicant apparently does not contemplate an embodiment wherein the only step required to establish a bonding interaction is the mating of two substrates with a layer of solvent-diluted polysilane as an interlayer followed by removal of the solvent. This is an important distinction because, whereas it is predicted that the aforementioned manipulation would not result in a chemical change in the polysilane, the UV treatment and heating step carried out at 250-300° C, which appear to be essential operations in the preparation of the claimed composite, do in fact induce chemical change in the polysilane layer. (See the first paragraph on page 8 and the last full paragraph on page

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/752,007

Art Unit: 1712

9 of the Specification wherein it is reported that the UV treatment and heating steps result in homolytic cleavage/rearrangement of the polymer and oxidation respectively.)

As further evidence supporting the notion that the polysilanes are not, themselves, adhesives for joining inorganic optical materials, e.g. glass, the Examiner cites Stedman, U.S. Patent # 3,449,135 plainly states that polysilanes similar to those presently contemplated do not adhere to glass.

If the Examiner is correct in concluding that the UV treatment and heating step are both crucial to the formation of a robust bond between the substrates, than claim 1 should be substantially changed to reflect that (a) the bonding layer is a derivative of polysilane, and (b) UV treatment and heating in the specified temperature range are the operations performed to bring about the changes in the polysilane that render it an effective adhesive. Of course, if these changes were made, the claim would likely take the form of a product-by-process claim in which case the Examiner would only be responsible for finding an identical product. (That is to say that the prior art composite would not necessarily have to be obtained in the same manner as would be claimed by Applicant, but the Examiner would still have to meet the burden of demonstrating that the interlayer was equivalent.)

While the Examiner did find mention of the utilization of polysilanes as coating materials for "optical" materials, there does not appear to be precedent for using them, or their degradative by-products, as adhesive materials for bonding to inorganic optical surfaces together. See Kan et al, JP 10-096810 A.

Application/Control Number: 10/752,007

Art Unit: 1712

Page 4

Hiraoka et al., U.S. Patent # 5,858,541 is noted for their description of optical devices that are manufactured using polysilane copolymers made from the polycondensation of polysilane bearing hydrolyzable groups and silanes/metal compounds bearing hydrolyzable groups. However, there were no instances in which the copolymers were placed between two materials that could be constured as optical materials nor was it expressly indicated that the copolymers had any role in "cementing" the layers together. (The optical devices were essentially comprised of a substrate on which multiple films were formed, one of which was a film comprising the polysilane copolymer.)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc S. Zimmer whose telephone number is 571-272-1096. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/752,007 Page 5

Art Unit: 1712

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 20, 2006

MARC S. ZIMMER PRIMARY EXAMINER